

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,896	02/20/2004	Sandra Helton McCain	2003-0753.01	7194
21972	7590 08/29/2006		EXAMINER	
	INTERNATIONAL, IN	FAISON GEE, VERONICA FAYE		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT 740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. 082-1			1755	
LEXINGTON, KY 40550-0999			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/783,896	MCCAIN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Veronica Faison-Gee	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this commun (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		rits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1,2,5,7,9 and 10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1,2,9,10 are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the 6 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to be objected to by the Examine 11 the oath or declaration is objected to	vn from consideration.  for election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		ı		

Art Unit: 1755

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

Claims 1, 2, and 5 have been amended, claims 9 and 10 have added and claims 3-4, 6 and 8 have been canceled. Hence, claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9 and 10are pending in the application.

#### Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 1, 2, 9 and 10 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

The invention is now directed to a inkjet printhead instead of an ink set.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1, 2, 9 and 10 have withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 5 and 7 are still directed to an ink set and will be examined with all of the limitations from in independent claim 1, which are directed to the composition of the ink set.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

.\_\_\_

Art Unit: 1755

Claim 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takemoto (US Patent 6,075,069).

Takemoto teaches an ink set that comprises a yellow ink, magenta ink having a lower color density containing a magenta colorant, magenta ink having a higher magenta colorant, cyan ink having a lower color density containing a cyan colorant, cyan ink having a higher color density containing a cyan colorant and optionally a black ink (abstract, col. 2 lines 42-49, and col. 3 line 67-col. 4 line 14). The reference further teaches that the colorant may be a dye or pigment, and with a pigment is used that a polymeric dispersant may be used including nonionic dispersant such as acetylene glycol (i.e. Surfynol 465 and Surfynol TG) (col. 6 line 56-col. 7 line 30). The reference remains silent to the amount of dye used in the composition. The amount of pigment present in the ink composition may be in the range of 0.5 to 25 percent by weight (col. 7 lines 26-28). The reference remains silent to the optical density percentage of the dilute color ink. However it is the position of the Examiner this limitation is considered inherent because there does not appear to be any reason why the cited reference would not contain an ink composition with applicants claimed optical density percentage, because the amount of colorant and other components are similar to the ink composition disclosed in Applicant's specification. The composition as taught by Takemoto appears to anticipate the claimed invention. The composition as taught by Takemoto appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanaya et al (US Patent 6,482,256).

Art Unit: 1755

Kanaya et al teach an ink set comprising a yellow ink, two magenta ink composition different from each other in color density and two cyan ink composition different from each other in color density (col. 6 lines 39-47). The colorant in the yellow ink is in the amount of 0.3 to 6 percent by weight (col. 9 lines 13-15). The magenta ink with higher color density is referred to as the deep magenta ink and the lower color density is referred to as the light magenta ink. The reference teaches that the magenta colorant is present in the amount of 0.5 to 5 percent by weight (col. 9 line 29-col. 10 line 20). The cyan ink with higher color density is referred to as the deep cyan ink and the lower color density is referred to as the light cyan ink (col. 18 lines 52-58). The reference teaches that the amount of cyan colorant present is in the range of 0.4 to 6 percent by weight (col. 19 line 56-col. 20 line 25). The reference also teaches that a black ink may be included in the ink set and the colorant may be dye or pigment such as carbon black (col. 21 lines 9-24). A surfactant may also be added to the ink composition in the amount of 0.1 to 3 percent by weight that include acetylene glycol (i.e. polymeric dispersant including Olfine STG and Olfine E 1010(col. 22 lines 16-56). The reference remains silent to the optical density percentage of the dilute color ink. However it is the position of the Examiner this limitation is considered inherent because there does not appear to be any reason why the cited reference would not contain an ink composition with applicants claimed optical density percentage, because the amount of colorant and other components are similar to the ink composition disclosed in Applicant's specification. The composition as taught by Kanaya et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1755

#### Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica Faison-Gee whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

vfg 8-23-06

SUPERVISORY RATENT EXAMINER